

Preserve

P25

App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Muz 364-28-1-6

1928.
(Muzrai)

File No. 347-28

Serial Nos. 1-6

Later References

Car 2-30 1-2
Muz Ref 139885

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for March 1930

SUBJECT

Resumption of the Guava lands resumed to
the Sri Yoganeswariha Swamy at Yedatore,
which is in the enjoyment of one Y. Shama Sastri
of Akkikelabail.

Nr 1850 dated
6.3.30

To the Muz. Comr

There are no reason to interfere
with the orders already passed by the
Muz Comr.

[Signature]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No 247
1928

FILE HEADING.

Resumption of the iam lands alienated from
Sri yogananda Swamy matt ad yedatone.

From

J. Shama Shastri, School master

No. Petition Dated 30.3.29 Received

Dist No
1197

Enclos

Space Copies

Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the M.C's order confirming the
D.C's order in the matter of the resumption from
their possession of the iam lands endowed to
the said matt.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Members' order: — "Records may be called
for no stay order can be issued."

D.S. Submitt

31/4/29.
13/4/29

✓ Done
W/L

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Lang File No. 341 of 1928

Serial
No

2

20. 11. 28

10

10/

For 2014 - 2015 the appeal
has been referred for consideration
no stay order can be issued as per
for 11. 11. 28

1. H. F. B. S. C. A. - 11. 11. 28

A. D. B. S. C. A. - 11. 11. 28

11. 11. 28

Information that the appeal
has been called for from the
+ that the matter is now in
1. From the M. C. S. C. A. No 19912

21. 6. 29.

Submits the report with
referred & called for.

3 The M. C. S. C. A. file no. D, 11. 11. 28
168 of 28. 1. 29 relates now received
relates to a different subject (re
Gardam Venkataramana Sanyal temple)
A off. calling for the correct file
is submitted.

AV
25. 6. 29. 11. 11. 28

5 The correct file no. D, 11. 11. 28
of the M. C. S. C. A. since received,
is placed on the file & the M. C. S. C. A.
appealed against is passed (11. 11. 28)

Sus (A)
um
9174

N

(not closed)

Serial
No.

6 Sri yogananda matt at yedatwe
is a muz. muz. endowed with wam
lands measuring 22-17⁹ and assessed at
Rs 145 with a quit-rent of Rs 47 and
Inam Kabas. 100 in Kappadi Hanta
Village in the yedatwe Taluk - The matt
having complained that inadequate jama
has been received from these lands
The M. Comm. has confirmed the D.L.
order directing redemption from the
present holders for the benefit
of the muz.

The appl. of. Shama Shastri who
holds one of the wam lands, has
complained against the above order
and states that the land was
gifted to one of his ancestors.
A dpl. calling for wam extract
relating to the matt lands, is
submitted for appl.

By Cb.

27.6-29

of 192

Aug 29/16/29

4

To The Inam Dept

No 23 of 3-7-29.

Requests to furnish with an extract
from the Inam Dept. in the name
of lands measuring 22-17⁹ assessed at Rs 145
with a quit-rent of Rs 47 1/2 inam Kabas
Village in Kappadi Hanta Village in the yedatwe
Taluk for the benefit of Sri yogananda

Serial
No.

From, the Guesse Sub, d. 11. 10 68 d
21. 9. 29

Submits a copy of the detailed
Inam Register.

10 The app. claims the land in question
on the ground that it was gifted
by the matt to his great grand-
father, Shamiak. From vol. 9 of
the Inam Register, it will be seen
that the transactions were not
recognized during the Inam settle-
ment of 1879 & 8 per chief
Cmrs. Notification of 1874, and
the lands were registered as Amadags
for the benefit of the matt. The
app. does not appear to have any case.

11 The appeal will be posted for
hearing, if directed. The appellant
submit has produced a copy of cert.

26. 9. 29. Court judgment sanctioning
my private settlement, by

when the ~~land~~ ^{settled} of 10 canons of land was
given to his ancestor. The Inam
register copy does not show of any
enquiry having been made re this
point, re order of civil court, but have
declined to accept the alienation as
contrary to the orders.

Pu D Cuel (2)

11/12
26/9

12 ✓ 2 This under prob.
management? if not ~~how~~ why
should prob interfere in regard to
dispute between the Inam & the
holders of the land & Mached the
Court

20
20/5

Serial
No.


13 The matter is under final management
(Vide also Swamey's Srinivasa D/S 19)

Submitted

^{AV}
27/9 29 14 There seems no reason
to interfere with the High Court's
order. The case will be posted
for hearing.

18 (Amended)

28
28/9



Posted to 9-10-29
(Notices issued to
parties)

16. Case taken up on 28-10-29. Parties
Shama Datta and her counsel
K. T. Bhaskararam present. The
lawyer wants some time to
further study the case. This
request is granted. Case to
be posted for hearing on
9th Dec 29

28-10-29

Posted to 9-12-29
Notices issued

17 Mr. Bhaskararam wants some more
time. May be taken up on
the next hearing day and
fresh notice issued

28-10-29

Mr. V. R. Valandaram
Shastri has produced
the power for himself.

Not for Court Sheet

It is placed
for

Serial
No.1924
192

18 This Case was heard finally on 11.2.30
Appellant was present and was
represented by his Counsel Mr.
Vasudera Satish.

Order

19 The Land with, Vasudera is a
Devadaya (nam) in the Jagannath
Mutt at Jedalore and the Appellant
has no right to it. There are no
reasons to interfere with the orders
passed by the M.C. and the appeal
is rejected.

Am/11
18/2/20

21 Submitted with a draft.


4/3
4/3

22 Am
18/2/20

6. The Muz Comm
no 1850 of 6-3-30.

Rejects the appeal as there are no reasons
to interfere and the lands are Devadaya
from endowment to the Jagannath Mutt
at Jedalore. 1851 of 6-3-30

Copy to Y Shama Satish through Advocate
V.R. Vasudera Satish Esq. A.B. L.S.



347-28-1

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH
OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Muzrai Appeal No. of 1928-29.

Appellant:- Y. Shamasastri, School Master, Akki-
hebbal, Krishnarajapet taluk, Mysore
District

UNDER SECTION 21 OF THE MYSORE MUZRAI REGULATION.

The appellant abovenamed most humbly and respect-
fully begs to state as follows:-

FACTS OF THE CASE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARY
1 APR 1930
MUZRAI
D 1497

There is a mutt at Yedatore, Mysore District,
known as Yoganandaswamy Mutt. The appellant's an-
cestors were greatly responsible for its maintenance
and growth and so far back as the year 1816 A. D. the
then Swami of the Mutt was pleased to make a gift of a
land to appellant's ^{great} grandfather, Shamiah. Since then
the land - now bearing Survey No. 301 measuring 3¹/₂ acres
18 guntas and assessed at Rs. 22/- - has been in the
possession and enjoyment of appellant and his ancestors.

In 1842 A. D. the land was demarcated by metes
and bounds and a dispute regarding the same between the
succeeding Swami and appellant's ancestor was settled
by the then Court of Ashtagram Division Superintendent
on the 13th May 1842 declaring the right of appellant's
ancestor to the land in question. The original gift
deed of 1816 is lost and may possibly be in Government

Government records. But the settlement deed of 1842 and the Court decree are herewith produced. They ~~and~~ had also been produced before the Revenue Commissioner. It is clear from the records that (i) the gift by the Mutt was in 1816, and (ii) the gift has subsequently been declared valid by a Court of Law.

After the lapse of over one hundred years, the present Swami again raised the question of the validity of the gift and the Revenue Officers have ordered the resumption of the land on the ground that the alienation by the Mutt was not valid. Against the final order of the learned Revenue Commissioner this appeal is preferred on the following

Grounds of Appeal:-

1. That the alienation having been declared valid and binding by a competent Court of Law, it ~~was~~ not open to the Revenue Officers to disregard the Judicial pronouncement and dispossess appellant of the land.

2. That even if the Mutt was not competent to make the gift, nevertheless inasmuch as the gift was made in 1816, the provisions of Section 274 Revenue Manual Volume I are a bar to the resumption of the land, which should properly be confirmed to the appellant.

3. That the learned Revenue Commissioner erred in not considering these aspects of the case.

4. That the learned Revenue Commissioner further erred in observing that appellant was a tenan

tenant of the Mutt, which he is not.

5. That the order of the Revenue ~~Commissioner~~ Officers is highly unjust and inequitable, particularly since it is over one hundred years since the land has been enjoyed in the family and has been considerably improved by them.

6. That the order appealed against is otherwise erroneous and unsustainable.


The appellant, therefore, prays that the order of the lower Courts may be set aside and the land confirmed to appellant.

The appellant further most humbly prays that pending final orders on the appeal, he may not be dispossessed of the land, which admittedly has been enjoyed in his family for over four generations.

Bangalore,

30th March 1929.

R. R. Chaudhary
Advocate for Appellant.



Order of the Mudrai Commissioner in Mysore

Subject:- (Appeal petition No 12 of 27-28) of Sanna
Sasta, School Master of Akkihebbal Kuttanaraya pet-Tahsil
and of Lingri Gowda Son of Bette Gowda of Hebbalu -
Koppala village Chunchana Katta Hobli Yedatme Taluk
against the order of the Dy Commissioner Mysore Dist.
in the matter of the resumption from their possession
of the Dham lands endowed to the Yogananda Swam
Mat at Yedatme and in their enjoyment.

facts of the case

Koppala Hanta village of		
Yedatme Taluk		
No 259.	6-32-	32
268	6-9	40
261	5-3	32
262	2-35	19
301	3-18-	22
Total	22-17	145

Quoted as 67th
and 68th
No 1/-

The marginally noted Dham lands were
in the possession and enjoyment of
the appellants. The present Swamy of the
Mat Sri Ramayya Brahmanaswami -
Swami brought to notice that the Mat Dham lands
were being enjoyed by persons. On payment of a
very inadequate rental and requesting that they
might be taken back from them and restored to the
Mat for being leased out on the more advantageous
terms. The Sub Division Officer Mysore
Sub Div was asked by the Deputy Commissioner Mysore
District to hold a regular enquiry in the matter

And submit a report. The Sub Dy Officer enquired accordingly and submitted a report in his No 2-338/26 dated 27th Sept. 1926. The first four nos were ascertained to be in the enjoyment of Singriwada and 16 others as noted in the margin paying a fixed patta of 2/4 candies of paddy in kind and 1-1-9-6 in cash per acre

of these 17 persons only 11 persons are stated to have appeared before the Sub Dy

Officers and deposed that they were in possession and enjoyment of these lands for two or three generations and that they were not willing to give up the lands or pay any enhanced patta to the Mats. Of the remaining 6 persons (Nos 12 to 17) Javarewada, Karni and Sidda are reported to have refused to take delivery of the notices issued to them, while the two viz Narasanna and Damoji did not appear in spite of notices served on them and the remaining one Karigwada could not be served with a notice

- | | |
|----------------|-------|
| 1 Singriwada | Hatta |
| 2 Javarewada | |
| 3 Borewada | Hatta |
| 4. Borewada | |
| 5. Karmanna | Hatta |
| 6. Madiwada | |
| 7. Ousewada | Hatta |
| 8. Borewada | |
| 9. Madiwada | |
| 10. Javarewada | |
| 11. Javarewada | |
| 12. Javarewada | |
| 13. Karni | |
| 14. Sidda | |
| 15. Narasanna | |
| 16. Damoji | |
| 17. Karigwada | |

S. No 301 in the possession and enjoyment of the 1st appellant. Shama Basti is stated to have come to the possession of his family by way of gift by one of the previous owners of the Mats. to his ancestor Shama Basti for his good services to the Mats. Shama Basti paid to Govt. the 1000 and cesses on the land. The Sub Dy Officer found that the appellants were mere tenants and as such had no

like to the Court in spite of their plea that they were
long in possession and that therefore it was their
right that they should be dispossessed of the lands
and the same be used only for a couple
of years to avoid the contingency of the ryots
combining together and refusing to cultivate
the lands, thus causing loss to the State. The
Amildar ^{also} was of the same opinion in his
report of 20-7-23. On the report of the Sub Dy
Officer the Dy Commissioner directed that since
Sungilwada and 16 others had not substantiated
any valid proof as to their Khadam tenancy —
in respect of the Guam lands in their possession
the lands should be taken back from them —
and handed over to the Swamy of the State.
With regard to S No 301 in the possession
of the 1st appellant Shama Sastri the Dy Commr
observed that the Swamikal had no power
to alienate the Devadaya Guam granted for
the benefit of the State and that neither did
Shama Sastri nor his ancestors take any
steps to have the tenure of the Guam land
S No 301 changed from Devadaya to personal
and Guam Settlement. This land also was

therefore ordered to be taken away from Shama -
Sastri and restored to the ^{the present appeal is against this order} Government. The
appellant Shama Sastri represented by his
counsel and the 2nd appellant Singuiforda
in person were heard.

Order in O.D. 168 of 1928-29 dated ⁵⁻¹²⁻²⁸ 16-1-29

When the tenants holding an Guam land
claim rights inconsistent with their tenancy,
it is not right that they should not be continued
in possession. The Dy Commissioner is order
being based on this principle is not open to
objection. The appellants should enter into
some arrangements with the Guam dars and
cannot expect the Dy Commissioner to help
them to force themselves on the Guam dars and
act adversely to his interests. The appeal is
rejected.

sd/ J. B. Bortha
Dist. Commissioner

Copy applied for 12-2-29

Copy ready 16-2-29

Copy delivered 26-2-29

100 p/bords 915/-

Copying fees 1-4-0

Composing fees 2-5-0

Composed by


K. S. Sanyal & Co.

Copy 4

M. Chaudhary

For Dist Comm

th



Before the Court of His Highness **ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ**
The Maharajah of Mysore Bangalore

928 ... 1929 ನೇ ಬಿಸ್ಸಿ May A ಸಂಬಂಧ

Appellant

Shama Shetty

vs.

ಮಾ|| ರಾ|| ಕೆ. ಟಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ ಮತ್ತು

ಕೆ. ಟಿ. ಭಾಷ್ಯಂಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್, ಬಿ. ಎ. ಬಿ. ಎಲ್. ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್ ರವರಿಗೆ:-

Appellant

ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮಾ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಪ್ಪಿರುವಾಗಲೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರಗಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನ ಪರ ಅಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆಡಳಿತಕ್ಕೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ದಣಿ ವಸೂಲುಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ರಾಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ನೈಸರ್ಗಿಕ ಡಿಸತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೂ ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ನೋಬಲಗ್ಗು ಸಿಲ್ವಾ ಮೊಬಲಗು ರಿಫಂಡು ವ್ಹಾಂಪು ಮೊಬಲಗು ವಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹಿತವಾಗಿ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋ ಫೈರ ಮತ್ತುವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನು ಖುಡ್ವಾಝಾ ಸೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಿದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

Shama Shetty

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತು

ರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್.

ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್.

Shama Shetty

ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್/ಅಪ್ಪಿಲಾಂಟ್
25/3/29.

SUBJECT

Section 1-2-3
 File No. 347 28
 Serial No. 1

Diary No. of letter replied to.

No. 2150
Enc. file

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

To

the

192

..... The M.C.

Date of issue.

6/12/29

Sir,

Signed by M. P. R. S. Menon

Patna 11/12/29
11/12/29

*That The aplt has been
 Separately informed that no
 stay order can be issued as
 prayed for by him*

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

I am directed to forward
 herewith for report with
 records, petition no. 1497, of
 30. 3. 1929 from Shama
 Shastri, School-master,
 appealing against the M.C's
 order confirming the D.C's
 order in the matter of the
 resumption of the waste
 lands alienated from
 Jodhove Math. I am to add,

I have etc.

Over
11/12/29
(M.T.O.)

18/11/1951

With ref to his petition

Dy 30.3.29 presented on behalf
of Y. Srama Sastri, School master
appealing against the M.C.S.
order in the matter of the
resumption of the unam lands
alienated from Yedatne matt.

Mr K. T. Bha shyam Iyengar,
Adv is informed that a

Report from records has been
called for from the M.C.,
and that no stay order
can be issued as prayed.
for the M.C.

P. O.

W. 14
J. S. Sastri
Adv


Bangalore 1st Jy 1929.

No. 1991 Ev-2 Files
28-9

FROM

P. C. D'Souza, Esq., F.A., F.I.,
~~THE~~ Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

22



To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., F.A., F.I.,

Secretary to the Government of the

Muzrai the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Mysore.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No. 2150/Muz. 347-23-2 dated 6th April 1929 forwarding for report with records petition from Shama S. Iyer, School Master, Akkihebbal, Krishnarajpet taluk appeal against this office order confirming the Deputy Commissioner's order in the matter of the resumption of the alienated lands from Yedatore Matt, I have the honor to forward herewith the entire records received with the Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No. H. 375 of '28 dated -- 10th June 1929 (copy enclosed.)

This office file No. D₁ Dis. 163 of 28-29 dated 23th January 1929 containing the order appealed against is also enclosed for reference and kind return. The appeal petition together with its enclosures received with your letter under reference is also herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Narasimha Iyengar.
For Muzrai Commissioner.

(absent from station)

Letter No.H.875/28 dated 15th June 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner at Mysore.

Resumption of lands - Sri Yogananda Matt- Yeatlore- appeal from Shamsashastry and others.

With reference to your memo No.1951 of 28-9 dated 13-1-29, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire records relating to the resumption of the Inam lands alienated from the Yogananda Matt and the appeal filed by Shamsashastry before Government against the said resumption of lands.

2. The facts of the case are set forth in your order No.Dl.Dis. -- 163/28-29 dated 16-1-29.

3. The appeal petition and its enclosures are retransmitted herewith.

"C o p y"


For Muzrai Commissioner.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section *Imp*
 File No. *347-28*
 Serial No. *4*

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the

192

To

..... *Mr. & Mrs. Ram. Supad*

Date of issue.....

3/7/28

Signed by.....

Shri. G. V. Ganapati

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to furnish this office with an extract from the details in the registers pertaining to the grant of lands measuring 22-14 and assessed at Rs 145 with a quit-rent of Rs 47 and ~~an~~ inam kaser of 100 in Kappadi Hanta Village in the Yedatane Taluk as inam for the benefit of Sri

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

*over
Yogananda Moh*

at yedatone

I have etc

Dr. J. C. ^{ing} Secty

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 27th inst. and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration.

Very respectfully,
 D. J. [Signature]
 R 527
 27/3/20

Sir,

In reference to the letter of the 27th inst. and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration.

Very respectfully,
 D. J. [Signature]
 R 527
 27/3/20

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D. J. [Signature]

SUPERINTENDENT.
 [Signature]

Register Book No. 53

Yedatore Village -

Yedatore Taluk.

Case No. 47.

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure Even where the local measure is in acres, puntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and then fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
47	Meatt	Wet 1150 and 1149	St Sotruya 1000 Jammipattay of 1831 C 11 12. 3 0 0.	

in the Taluk of

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, i. e. jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	9
Rs. 69-15-0		<i>The jagannadimwala Hall</i> <i>For the support of jagannadimwala Hall</i> <i>of a date which is in good order. Services</i> <i>rendered and services rendered.</i>	<i>jooy</i> <i>pag</i> <i>16-2-12</i> <i>Rs</i> <i>47-5-5</i>

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the mine has been subdivided the name etc., of each share should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age, etc	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holder	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holder, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Yc datore Yoganandiswara <u>Swami Mutt</u> Manager p. 10 d. 11			

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam Assistant's opinion and recommendation

Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner

19

20

Devadaya in India

1 whole grant, (Edumunavadi)

2 Remarks as in Case 11011

3 To be Confirmed on the existing foot of Rs 47-5-5 or Rs 47 under Rule V

Out of this Inam 10 Rs 000 of web paying a foot of 9-14-2 are given one Samrat in 1842 whose son Marakantaappa is enjoying. The transactions are not recognized as per recent orders of the Commission. The subject of the Devadaya and alienations.

(Sd) B. Rajagopalak.
25.6.79
Asst.

(Sd) R. H. Cole


29.6.8

Superintendent
of Inam Settlements

Copy

For Superintendent of
Inam Settlements

19.9.79



ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

Before the Government of Mysore
Bangalore:

1929-ನೇ
30

ನಂಬರು

Mysrai Appeal no: 10.

ನಾಡಿ ಯಾ ಆರ್ಪಿದಾರ್
Appellant

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಆಪೋನೆಂಟ್

Shama Sastry

Govt of Mysore:

V.S.

ಮ|| ರಾ|| ವಿ. ಆರ್. ವಾಸುದೇವ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ ಬಿ. ಎ., ಎಲ್. ಎಲ್. ಬಿ.,
ಆಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ಯವರಿಗೆ

Appellant ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ವರವಾಗಿ ಆಖ್ಯಾಯಿಕೆಯಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರುಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನ ಪರ ಅಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮಪಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಿಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೇ ವಜು ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲೀ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲೀ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 1.2.930

ರುಜು. Shama Sastry

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತಾಯಿನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತಾಯಿನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ

Before the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore.

Muz. Appeal No. 10 of 1929-30.

Appellant.
Y. Shamasasthy.
Schol. master. Akkhebbal
Krishnarajapur Taluk.
Mysore District.

Vs.

Respondent
Sri Yogamanda Swamy
~~Mutt at Yedate~~
Govt. of Mysore.

Subject.

An appeal against the order of the Muz. Comm.
confirming the order of the Dy. Commr. Mysore
District, in the matter of the resumption ~~from~~
~~the appellant's~~ possession of the inam lands ^{at Yedate} endowed to
the Sri Yogamanda Swamy Mutt ^{at Yedate}
to be in the possession & enjoyment of the appellant.

The case was heard finally on 17.2.30.

Appellant was present and was represented
by his Counsel Mr. V.R. Vasudeva Sastri.

Order

The land under consideration is a Devadag
Inam endowed to the Yogamanda Mutt

at Medakore and the appellan. has
no right to it. There are no reasons
to interfere with the orders passed
by the Mag: Commr. and the appeal
is rejected.

1850 Memo: No: 121 dated 8.3.30.
Mag 347 12. 5/2/28
Copy to the Mag: Commr. returning
the records received with his letter
no: 1991/28-29 dated 21.6.1929.

By order
MS
W/3
for Fint: Secy: to Govt:
1851/Dec 2 -
Copy to Mr. Y. Shanna Sastri then

his Counsel V. R. Vasudeva Sastri Esq. B.A. B.L.B.
Associate. Bangalore City. along with the enclosures.

12-8.
MS
W/3
for Fint: Secy:
G.D.
9s
4/3